

EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS

MAIN AIMS

TO DEVELOP OPTIMAL FORMULATIONS OF THE
EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS ASSOCIATED WITH
THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR H ON
THE REAL LINE WHICH PROVIDES A MECHANISM FOR

- IDENTIFYING A SUITABLE SCALAR SPECTRAL FUNCTION*
- ESTABLISHING THE SPECTRAL MULTIPLICITY OF H *
- EXHIBITING THE GENERALISED EIGENFUNCTIONS
EXPLICITLY IN EXPANSIONS
- IDENTIFYING THE SPECTRAL TYPES OF THE EIGENFUNCTIONS
FROM THEIR ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR AT $\pm\infty$.

* Already achieved by I.S.Kac, 1962/3

CONTEXT

Let L be the differential expression satisfying

- $Lu = \lambda u$, $L := -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + q(r)$, $-\infty < r < \infty$,
- $q(r) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in L^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,
- L is in Weyl's limit point case (LP) at $(\pm\infty)$
 (ie. for each $z = \lambda \pm i\varepsilon$, precisely 1 solution of $Lu = \lambda u$
 (the Weyl solution) of $Lu = \lambda u$ is in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Then a unique self adjoint operator H (the 1-d Schrödinger operator) is defined by

$$Hf = Lf, f \in D(H),$$

where $f \in D(H)$ if (i) $f, Lf \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$
 (ii) f, f' are locally a.c. on \mathbb{R}

PROPERTIES OF THE SPECTRUM $\sigma(H)$

- H self adjoint $\Rightarrow \sigma(H) = \overline{\sigma(H)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- components of $\sigma(H)$ may be absolutely continuous or singular, or both
- Singular part of $\sigma(H)$, $\sigma_s(H)$, may be pure point, singular or both
 is purely singular then H has spectral multiplicity 1
- If $\sigma(H)$ includes an absolutely continuous part $\sigma_{a.c.}(H)$,
 then $\sigma_{a.c.}(H)$ will contain a subset S_1 with positive Lebesgue measure $|S_1|$ on which H has spectral multiplicity 1 AND/OR
 a subset S_2 s.t. $|S_2| > 0$ on which H has spectral mult. 2.

Terminology : Simple / degenerate spectrum refer to spectral mult. 1 / mult. 2 respectively.

EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS IN $\frac{1}{2}$ LINE CASE

Let H be a selfadjoint operator associated with

$$L = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + q(r), \quad 0 \leq r < \infty,$$

and boundary condition

$$\cos \alpha u(0, \lambda) + \sin \alpha u'(0, \lambda) = 0 \quad (1)$$

for some fixed $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$. Then if $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$f(r) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} u(r, \lambda) G(\lambda) d\rho_\alpha(\lambda) \quad (2)$$

where $u(r, \lambda)$ satisfies $Lu = \lambda u$ and (1), $\rho_\alpha(\lambda)$ is spectral function,

$$\text{and } G(\lambda) = \lim_{\substack{r \uparrow \infty \\ r \downarrow 0}} \int_r^\infty u(r, \lambda) f(r) dr$$

with convergence in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\rho_\alpha)$ respectively.

N.B. In this context, $u(r, \lambda)$ is said to be a generalised eigenfunction of H with eigenvalue $\lambda \Leftrightarrow 0 < \rho'_\alpha(\lambda) \leq \infty$.

WEYL-KODAIRA EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSION ON THE LINE

(5)

Let $f(r) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$f(r) = \text{l.i.m. } \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \sum_{i,j=1,2} u_i(r, \lambda) F_j(\lambda) d\rho_{ij}(\lambda), \text{ where}$$

$$F(\lambda) = \{F_1(\lambda), F_2(\lambda)\} = \text{l.i.m. } \left\{ \int_{-\nu}^{\nu} u_1(r, \lambda) f(r) dr, \int_{-\nu}^{\nu} u_2(r, \lambda) f(r) dr \right\}$$

with convergence in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\rho_{ij})$ respectively,
where (ρ_{ij}) is the 2×2 spectral matrix associated with H ,
and $\{u_1(r, \lambda), u_2(r, \lambda)\}$ is a FSS of $Lu = \lambda u$ with $W(u_2, u_1) = 1$

NOTE: The W-K expansion has very general application.

BUT it FAILS to • identify a scalar spectral function

- exhibit the generalised eigenfunctions
- determine multiplicity of $\sigma(H)$
- enable spectral type of eigenfns. to be determined.

REFORMULATION OF WEYL-KODAIRA EXPANSION

(6)

Using Titchmarsh-Weyl theory, including the m -functions $m_{-\infty}, m_\infty$, associated with the $1/2$ line operators $H_{-\infty}, H_\infty$ on $L_2([-\infty, 0])$ and $L_2([0, \infty))$ respectively, the integrand in the W-K expansion can be expressed in matrix form to give

$$\int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \sum_{i,j=1} u_i(r, \lambda) F_j(\lambda) d\rho_{ij}(\lambda) = \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} (U(r, \lambda))^t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\rho_{ij}(\lambda)}{d\rho_T} \end{pmatrix} F(\lambda) d\rho_T(\lambda) \quad (3)$$

where $U(r, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(r, \lambda) \\ u_2(r, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, $F(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(\lambda) \\ F_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, $\rho_T = \rho_{11} + \rho_{22}$

and $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\rho_{ij}}{d\rho_T}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\rho_{11}}{d\rho_T}(\lambda) & \frac{d\rho_{12}}{d\rho_T}(\lambda) \\ \frac{d\rho_{21}}{d\rho_T}(\lambda) & \frac{d\rho_{22}}{d\rho_T}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ is known as the spectral density matrix (SDM) and is positive semi-definite.

Note that the trace of the spectral matrix (ρ_{ij}) now constitutes a scalar spectral function $\rho_T(\lambda)$

REFORMULATION OF W-K EXPANSION (Cont'd)

(7)

Since SDM is symmetric and PSD there exists a 2×2 matrix

P s.t. $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\rho_{ij}(\lambda)}{d\rho_T} \end{pmatrix} = P^T D P$ where $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

according as rank $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\rho_{ij}(\lambda)}{d\rho_T} \end{pmatrix} = 1$ or 2 respectively

Setting $Pu(r, \lambda) = V(r, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(r, \lambda) \\ v_2(r, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, $PF(\lambda) = G(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\lambda) \\ G_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$,

and substituting into (3) yields

$$f(r) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} V(r, \lambda)^T D G(\lambda) d\rho_T(\lambda) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{l.i.m.}_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{(-\omega, \omega) \cap M_1} v(r, \lambda) G(\lambda) d\rho_T(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{(-\omega, \omega) \cap M_2} v_i(r, \lambda) G_i(\lambda) d\rho_T \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Note:
 $m_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \text{rank SDM} = k\}$

KAC THEOREMS (1962/3)

I H has multiplicity 2 \Leftrightarrow the Lebesgue measure $|S_2|$ of

$$S_2 = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \left(\frac{d\mu_{ij}(\lambda)}{d\mu_x} \right) \right\} \text{ exists and has rank 2}$$

is strictly positive.

If $|S_2| = 0$, then H has simple spectrum

II If $|S_2| > 0$, then the degenerate spectrum is purely a.c.

NOTE If $\sigma(H)$ is purely simple then the rank of the SDM is 1 for some or all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and is otherwise 0. The spectrum $\sigma(H)$ may be singular and / or absolutely continuous

GENERALISED EIGENFUNCTIONS & CONCEPT OF SUBORDINACY

Definition For a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that the solution $u(r, \lambda)$ of $Lu = \lambda u$ is a generalised eigenfunction if and only if the trace spectral density $\rho_t'(\lambda)$ exists and $0 < \rho_t'(\lambda) \leq \infty$.

Comment There is a striking correlation between rank (SDM), the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of $Lu = \lambda u$ at $\pm\infty$, and the properties of $\sigma(H)$. To appreciate this we introduce the concept of subordinacy.

Definition A solution $u_s(r, \lambda)$ of $Lu = \lambda u$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq r < \infty$, is said to be subordinate at ∞ if $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_s(r, \lambda)\|_N / \|u(r, \lambda)\|_N) = 0$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes $L_2[0, N]$ norm, and $u_s(r, \lambda)$ is linearly independent from $u(r, \lambda)$.

GENERALISED EIGENFUNCTIONS (Cont'd)

(10)

THEOREM : For a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ a solution $u(r, \lambda)$ of $Lu = \lambda u$ is a generalised eigenfunction of $H \Leftrightarrow$ one of the following alternatives holds :

- (i) $u(r, \lambda)$ is a subordinate solution of $Lu = \lambda u$ at ∞ , but no solution is subordinate at $-\infty$.
- (ii) as in (i), but with ∞ and $-\infty$ interchanged.
- (iii) the solution $u(r, \lambda)$ is subordinate at both $-\infty$ and ∞ .
- (iv) No solution $u(r, \lambda)$ is subordinate at ∞ or $-\infty$.

In cases (i) - (iii), the generalised eigenfunctions are unique distinguished solutions of $Lu = \lambda u$ and are correlated with the simple spectrum of H . In case (iv), all solutions of $Lu = \lambda u$ are of comparable asymptotic size at $\pm \infty$ and such values of λ are associated with the degenerate spectrum of H (i.e. mult. 2)

Reference

Daphne J. Gilbert

Eigenfunction Expansions associated with
the One Dimensional Schrödinger
Operator

in Operator Theory: Advances and Applications

Volume 227 (2013), pp. 89 - 105

(includes detailed derivations and worked examples)